arXiv:1608.03551v1 [math.CO] 11 Aug 2016

On Middle Cube Graphs

C. Dalfó[†], M.A. Fiol[†], M. Mitjana[‡]
[†]Departament de Matemàtica Aplicada IV
[‡]Departament de Matemàtica Aplicada I
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya
{cdalfo,fiol}@ma4.upc.edu
margarida.mitjana@upc.edu

August 12, 2016

Abstract

We study a family of graphs related to the n-cube. The middle cube graph of parameter k is the subgraph of Q_{2k-1} induced by the set of vertices whose binary representation has either $k-1$ or k number of ones. The middle cube graphs can be obtained from the well-known odd graphs by doubling their vertex set. Here we study some of the properties of the middle cube graphs in the light of the theory of distance-regular graphs. In particular, we completely determine their spectra (eigenvalues and their multiplicities, and associated eigenvectors).

1 Introduction

The *n-cube* Q_n, or n-dimensional hypercube, has been extensively studied. Nevertheless, many open questions remain. Harary *et al.* wrote a comprehensive survey on hypercube graphs [20]. Recall that the *n-cube* Q_n has vertex set $V = \{0,1\}^n$ and n-tuples representing vertices are adjacent if and only if they differ in exactly one coordinate. Then, Q_n is an n-regular bipartite graph with 2^n vertices and it is natural to consider its vertex set as partitioned into $n+1$ layers, the *layer* L_k consisting of the $\binom{n}{k}$ vertices containing exactly k 1s, $0 \le k \le n$. Seeing the vertices of Q_n as the characteristic vector of subsets of $[n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, the vertices of layer L_k correspond to the subsets of cardinality k, while the adjacencies correspond to the inclusion relation.

If n is odd, $n = 2k-1$, the middle two layers L_k and L_{k-1} of Q_n have the same number $\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n}{k-1}$ of vertices. Then the middle cube graph, denoted by MQ_k, is the graph induced by these two layers. It has been conjectured by Dejter, Erdős, Havel [21] among others, that MQ_k is Hamiltonian. It is known that the conjecture holds for $n \le 16$ (see Savage and Shields [26]), and it was *almost* solved by Robert Johnson [25].

In this paper we study some of the properties of the middle cube graphs in the light of the theory of distance-regular graphs. In particular, we completely determine their spectra (eigenvalues and their multiplicities, and associated eigenvectors). In this context, Qiu and Das provided experimental results for eigenvalues of several interconnection networks for which no complete characterization were known (see [24, §3.2]).

Before proceeding with our study, we fix some basic definitions and notation used throughout the paper. We denote by $G = (V, E)$ a (simple, connected and finite) *graph* with vertex set V an edge set E. The *order* of the graph G is $n = |V|$ and its *size* is $m = |E|$. We label the vertices with the integers $1, 2, \ldots, n$. If i is adjacent to j, that is, $ij \in E$, we write $i \sim j$ or

$i \overset{(E)}{\sim} j$. The *distance* between two vertices is denoted by $\mathrm{dist}(i,j)$. We also use the concepts of *even distance* and *odd distance* between vertices (see Bond and Delorme [6]), denoted by dist^+ and dist^-, respectively. They are defined as the length of a shortest even (respectively, odd) walk between the corresponding vertices. The set of vertices which are *ℓ-apart* from vertex i, with respect to the usual distance, is $\Gamma_\ell(i) = \{j : \mathrm{dist}(i,j) = \ell\}$, so that the *degree* of vertex i is simply $\delta_i := |\Gamma_1(i)| \equiv |\Gamma(i)|$. The *eccentricity* of a vertex is $\mathrm{ecc}(i) := \max_{1\le j\le n} \mathrm{dist}(i,j)$ and the *diameter* of the graph is $D \equiv D(G) := \max_{1\le i\le n} \mathrm{ecc}(i)$. Given $0 \le \ell \le D$, the *distance-ℓ* graph G_ℓ has the same vertex set as G and two vertices are adjacent in G_ℓ if and only if they are at distance ℓ in G. An *antipodal graph* G is a connected graph of diameter D for which G_D is a disjoint union of cliques. In this case, the *folded graph of* G is the graph $\overline{G}$ whose vertices are the maximal cliques of G_D and two vertices are adjacent if their union contains and edge of G. If, moreover, all maximal cliques of G_D have the same size r then G is also called an *antipodal r-cover* of $\overline{G}$ (double cover if $r = 2$, triple cover if $r = 3$, etc.).

Recall that a graph G with diameter D is *distance-regular* when, for all integers h, i, j $(0 \le h, i, j \le D)$ and vertices $u, v \in V$ with $\mathrm{dist}(u,v) = h$, the numbers

$$p^h_{ij} = |\{w \in V : \mathrm{dist}(u,w) = i, \mathrm{dist}(w,v) = j\}|$$

do not depend on u and v. In this case, such numbers are called the *intersection parameters* and, for notational convenience, we write $c_i = p^i_{1i-1}$, $b_i = p^i_{1i+1}$, and $a_i = p^i_{1i}$ (see Brower *et al.* [7] and Fiol [11]).

2 Preliminaries

2.1 The odd graphs

The odd graph, independently introduced by Balaban *et al.* [2] and Biggs [3], is a family of graphs that has been studied by many authors (see [4, 5, 18]). More recently, Fiol *et al.* [16] introduced the twisted odd graphs, which share some interesting properties with the odd graphs although they have, in general, a more involved structure.

For $k \ge 2$, *the odd graph* O_k has vertices representing the $(k-1)$-subsets of $[2k-1] = \{1, 2, \ldots, 2k-1\}$, and two vertices are adjacent if and only if they are disjoint. For example, O_2 is the complete graph K_3, and O_3 is the Petersen graph. In general, O_k is a k-regular graph on $n = \binom{2k-1}{k-1}$ vertices, diameter $D = k-1$ and girth $g = 3$ if $k = 2$, $g = 5$ if $k = 3$, and $g = 6$ if $k > 4$ (see Biggs [5]).

The odd graph O_k is a distance-regular graph with intersection parameters

$$b_j = k - \left\lceil \frac{j+1}{2} \right\rceil, \quad c_j = \left\lceil \frac{j+1}{2} \right\rceil \quad (0 \le j \le k-1).$$

With respect to the spectrum, the distinct eigenvalues of O_k are $\lambda_i = (-1)^i(k-i)$, $0 \le i \le k-1$, with multiplicities

$$m(\lambda_i) = \binom{2k-1}{i} - \binom{2k-1}{i-1} = \frac{k-i}{k}\binom{2k}{i}.$$

2.2 The bipartite double graph

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph of order n, with vertex set $V = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Its *bipartite double* graph $\widetilde{G} = (\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{E})$ is the graph with the duplicated vertex set $\widetilde{V} = \{1, 2, \ldots, n, 1', 2', \ldots, n'\}$,



Figure 1: The path P_4 and its bipartite double graph.

and adjacencies induced from the adjacencies in G as follows:

$$i \overset{(E)}{\sim} j \Rightarrow \begin{cases} i \overset{(\tilde{E})}{\sim} j', \text{ and} \\ j \overset{(\tilde{E})}{\sim} i'. \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

Thus, the edge set of $\widetilde{G}$ is $\widetilde{E} = \{ij' | ij \in E\}$.

From the definition, it follows that $\widetilde{G}$ is a bipartite graph with stable subsets $V_1 = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and $V_2 = \{1', 2', \ldots, n'\}$. For example, if G is a bipartite graph, then its bipartite double graph $\widetilde{G}$ consists of two non-connected copies of G (see Fig. 1).

The bipartite double graph $\widetilde{G}$ has an involutive automorphism without fixed edges, which interchanges vertices i and i'. On the other hand, the map from $\widetilde{G}$ onto G defined by $i' \mapsto i$, $i \mapsto i$ is a 2-fold covering.

If G is a δ-regular graph, then $\widetilde{G}$ also is. Moreover, if the degree sequence of the original graph G is $\delta = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_n)$, the degree sequence for its bipartite double graph is $\widetilde{\delta} = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_n, \delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_n)$.

The distance between vertices in the bipartite double graph $\widetilde{G}$ can be given in terms of the even and odd distances in G. Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{dist}_{\widetilde{G}}(i, j) &= \mathrm{dist}^{+}_{G}(i, j) \\ \mathrm{dist}_{\widetilde{G}}(i, j') &= \mathrm{dist}^{-}_{G}(i, j). \end{aligned}$$

Note that always $\mathrm{dist}^{-}_{G}(i, j) > 0$ even if $i = j$. Actually, $\widetilde{G}$ is connected if and only if G is connected and non-bipartite.

More precisely, it was proved by Bond and Delorme [6] that if G is a non-bipartite graph with diameter D, then its bipartite double graph $\widetilde{G}$ has diameter $\widetilde{D} \leq 2D + 1$, and $\widetilde{D} = 2D + 1$ if and only if for some vertex $i \in V$ the subgraph induced by the vertices at distance less than D from i, $G_{\leq D-1}(i)$, is bipartite.

In Figs. 2-5, we can see the bipartite double graph of three different graphs. The cycle C_5 and Petersen graph both have diameter $D = 2$, and their bipartite double graphs have diameter $\widetilde{D} = 2D + 1 = 5$, while in the first example (Fig. 2) $\widetilde{G}$ has diameter $\widetilde{D} = 3 < 2D + 1$.

The *extended bipartite double* graph $\widehat{G}$ of a graph G is obtained from its bipartite double graph by adding edges (i, i') for each $i \in V$. Note that when G is bipartite, then $\widehat{G}$ is the direct product $G \square K_2$.

2.3 Spectral properties of the bipartite double graph

Let us now recall a useful result from spectral graph theory. For any graph, it is known that the components of its eigenvalues can be seen as charges on each vertex (see Fiol and Mitjana [17] and Godsil [19]). Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with adjacency matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$ and λ-eigenvector $\boldsymbol{v}$. Then, the charge of vertex $i \in V$ is the entry v_i of $\boldsymbol{v}$, and the equation $\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{v} = \lambda \boldsymbol{v}$ means that



Figure 2: Graph G has diameter 2 and $\widetilde{G}$ has diameter 3.



Figure 3: C_5 and its bipartite double graph.

the sum of the charges of the neighbors of vertex i is λ times the charge of vertex i:

$$(\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{v})_i = \sum_{i \overset{(E)}{\sim} j} v_j = \lambda v_i.$$

In what follows we compute the eigenvalues of the bipartite double graph $\widetilde{G}$ and the extended bipartite double graph $\widehat{G}$ as functions of the eigenvalues of a non-bipartite graph G. We also show how to obtain the eigenvalues together with the corresponding eigenvectors of $\widetilde{G}$ and $\widehat{G}$.

First, we recall the following technical result, due to Silvester [27], on the determinant of some block matrices:

Theorem 2.1 *Let F be a field and let R be a commutative subring of $F^{n\times n}$, the set of all $n\times n$ matrices over F. Let $\boldsymbol{M}\in R^{m\times m}$, then*

$$det_F(\boldsymbol{M}) = det_F\big(det_R(\boldsymbol{M})\big).$$

For example, if $\boldsymbol{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{A} & \boldsymbol{B} \\ \boldsymbol{C} & \boldsymbol{D} \end{pmatrix}$, where $\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{B}, \boldsymbol{C}, \boldsymbol{D}$ are $n\times n$ matrices over F which commute with each other, then Theorem 2.1 reads

$$det_F(\boldsymbol{M}) = det_F(\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{D} - \boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{C}). \tag{2}$$

Now we can use the above theorem to find the characteristic polynomial of the bipartite double and the extended bipartite double graphs.

Theorem 2.2 *Let G be a graph on n vertices, with the adjacency matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$ and characteristic polynomial $\phi_G(x)$. Then, the characteristic polynomials of $\widetilde{G}$ and $\widehat{G}$ are, respectively,*

$$\phi_{\widetilde{G}}(x) = (-1)^n \phi_G(x)\phi_G(-x), \tag{3}$$
$$\phi_{\widehat{G}}(x) = (-1)^n \phi_G(x-1)\phi_G(-x-1). \tag{4}$$



Figure 4: C_5 and C_{10} as another view of its bipartite double graph.



Figure 5: Petersen's graph and its bipartite double graph.

Proof. From the definitions of $\widetilde{G}$ and $\widehat{G}$, their adjacency matrices are, respectively,

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{O} & \boldsymbol{A} \\ \boldsymbol{A} & \boldsymbol{O} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\boldsymbol{A}} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{O} & \boldsymbol{A}+\boldsymbol{I} \\ \boldsymbol{A}+\boldsymbol{I} & \boldsymbol{O} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, by (2), the characteristic polynomial of $\widetilde{G}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\widetilde{G}}(x) &= \det(x\boldsymbol{I}_{2n} - \widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} x\boldsymbol{I}_n & -\boldsymbol{A} \\ -\boldsymbol{A} & x\boldsymbol{I}_n \end{pmatrix} = \det(x^2\boldsymbol{I}_n - \boldsymbol{A}^2) \\ &= \det(x\boldsymbol{I}_n - \boldsymbol{A}) \det(x\boldsymbol{I}_n + \boldsymbol{A}) = (-1)^n \phi_G(x)\phi_G(-x), \end{aligned}$$

whereas, the characteristic polynomial of $\widehat{G}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\widehat{G}}(x) &= \det(x\boldsymbol{I}_{2n} - \widehat{\boldsymbol{A}}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} x\boldsymbol{I}_n & -\boldsymbol{A}-\boldsymbol{I}_n \\ -\boldsymbol{A}-\boldsymbol{I}_n & x\boldsymbol{I}_n \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det\left(x^2\boldsymbol{I}_n - (\boldsymbol{A}+\boldsymbol{I}_n)^2\right) = \det\left(x\boldsymbol{I}_n - (\boldsymbol{A}+\boldsymbol{I}_n)\right) \det\left(x\boldsymbol{I}_n + (\boldsymbol{A}+\boldsymbol{I}_n)\right) \\ &= \det\left((x-1)\boldsymbol{I}_n - \boldsymbol{A}\right)(-1)^n \det\left(-(x+1)\boldsymbol{I}_n - \boldsymbol{A}\right) \\ &= (-1)^n \phi_G(x-1)\phi_G(-x-1). \end{aligned}$$

□

As a consequence, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 2.3 *Given a graph G with spectrum*

$$\operatorname{sp} G = \{\lambda_0^{m_0}, \lambda_1^{m_1}, \ldots, \lambda_d^{m_d}\},$$

where the superscripts denote multiplicities, then the spectra of $\widetilde{G}$ and $\widehat{G}$ are, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{sp} \widetilde{G} &= \{\pm\lambda_0^{m_0}, \pm\lambda_1^{m_1}, \ldots, \pm\lambda_d^{m_d}\}, \\ \operatorname{sp} \widehat{G} &= \{\pm(1+\lambda_0)^{m_0}, \pm(1+\lambda_1)^{m_1}, \ldots, \pm(1+\lambda_d)^{m_d}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Just note that, by (3) and (4), for each root λ of $\phi_G(x)$, $\mu = \pm\lambda$ are roots of $\phi_{\widetilde{G}}(x)$, whereas $\mu = \pm(1+\lambda)$ are roots of $\phi_{\widehat{G}}(x)$. $\square$

Note that the spectra of $\widetilde{G}$ and $\widehat{G}$ are symmetric, as expected, because both $\widetilde{G}$ and $\widehat{G}$ are bipartite graphs.

In the next theorem we are concerned with the eigenvectors of $\widetilde{G}$ and $\widehat{G}$, in terms of the eigenvectors of G. The computations also give an alternative derivation of the above spectra.

Theorem 2.4 *Let G be a graph and $\boldsymbol{v}$ a λ-eigenvector of G. Let us consider the vector $\boldsymbol{u}^+$ with components $u_i^+ = u_{i'}^+ = v_i$, and $\boldsymbol{u}^-$, with components $u_i^- = v_i$ and $u_{i'}^- = -v_i$, $1 \le i, i' \le n$. Then,*

- *$\boldsymbol{u}^+$ is a λ-eigenvector of $\widetilde{G}$ and a $(1+\lambda)$-eigenvector of $\widehat{G}$;*
- *$\boldsymbol{u}^-$ is a $(-\lambda)$-eigenvector of $\widetilde{G}$ and a $(-1-\lambda)$-eigenvector of $\widehat{G}$.*

Proof. In order to show that $\boldsymbol{u}^+$ is a λ-eigenvector of $\widetilde{G}$, we distinguish two cases:

- For a given vertex i, $1 \le i \le n$, all its adjacent vertices are of type j', with $i \overset{(E)}{\sim} j$. Then

$$(\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{u}^+)_i = \sum_{j' \overset{(\tilde{E})}{\sim} i} u_{j'}^+ = \sum_{j \overset{(E)}{\sim} i} v_j = \lambda v_i = \lambda u_i^+.$$

- For a given vertex i', $1 \le i \le n$, all its adjacent vertices are of type j, with $i \overset{(E)}{\sim} j$. Then

$$(\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{u}^+)_{i'} = \sum_{j \overset{(\tilde{E})}{\sim} i'} u_j^+ = \sum_{j \overset{(E)}{\sim} i} v_j = \lambda v_i = \lambda u_i^+.$$

By a similar reasoning with u^-, we obtain

$$(\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{u}^-)_i = \sum_{j' \overset{(\tilde{E})}{\sim} i} u_{j'}^- = -\sum_{j \overset{(E)}{\sim} i} v_j = -\lambda u_i^- \text{ and } (\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{u}^-)_{i'} = \sum_{j \overset{(\tilde{E})}{\sim} i'} u_j^- = \sum_{j \overset{(E)}{\sim} i} v_j = -\lambda u_{i'}^-.$$

Therefore, $\boldsymbol{u}^-$ is a $(-\lambda)$-eigenvector of the bipartite double graph $\widetilde{G}$.

In the same way, we can prove that $\boldsymbol{u}^+$ and $\boldsymbol{u}^-$ are eigenvectors of $\widehat{G}$ with respective eigenvalues $1+\lambda$ and $-1-\lambda$. $\square$

Notice that, for every linearly independent eigenvectors $\boldsymbol{v}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_2$ of G, we get the linearly independent eigenvectors $\boldsymbol{u}_1^\pm$ and $\boldsymbol{u}_2^\pm$ of $\widetilde{G}$. As a consequence, the geometric multiplicity of eigenvalue λ of G coincides with the geometric multiplicities of the eigenvalues λ and $-\lambda$ of $\widetilde{G}$, and $1+\lambda$ and $-1-\lambda$ of $\widehat{G}$.

3 The middle cube graphs

For $k \geq 1$ and $n = 2k-1$, the *middle cube graph* MQ_k is the subgraph of the n-cube Q_n induced by the vertices whose binary representations have either $k-1$ or k number of 1s. Then, MQ_k has order $2\binom{n}{k}$ and is k-regular, since a vertex with $k-1$ 1s has k zeroes, so it is adjacent to k vertices with k 1s, and similarly a vertex with k 1s has k adjacent vertices with $k-1$ 1s (see Figs. 6 and 7).

The middle cube graph MQ_k is a bipartite graph with stable sets V_0 and V_1 constituted by the vertices whose corresponding binary string has, respectively, even or odd *Hamming weight*, that is, number of 1s. The diameter of the middle cube graph MQ_k is $D = 2k-1$.

3.1 MQ_k is the bipartite double graph of O_k

Notice that, if A and B are both subsets of $[2k-1]$, $A \subset B$ if and only if A and $\overline{B}$ are disjoint. Moreover, if $|B| = k$ then $|\overline{B}| = k-1$. This gives the following result.

Proposition 3.1 *The middle cube graph MQ_k is isomorphic to $\widetilde{O}_k$, the bipartite double graph of O_k.*

Proof. The mapping from $\widetilde{O}_k$ to MQ_k defined by:

$$\begin{array}{rccc} f: & V[\widetilde{O}_k] & \to & V[MQ_k] \\ & \boldsymbol{u} & \mapsto & \boldsymbol{u} \\ & \boldsymbol{u}' & \mapsto & \overline{\boldsymbol{u}} \end{array}$$

is clearly bijective. Moreover, according to the definition of bipartite double graph in Eq.(1), if $\boldsymbol{u}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}'$ are two vertices of $\widetilde{O}_k$, then

$$\boldsymbol{u} \sim \boldsymbol{v}' \Leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{u} \cap \boldsymbol{v} = \varnothing \Leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{u} \subset \overline{\boldsymbol{v}},$$

which is equivalent to say that if $\boldsymbol{u} \sim \boldsymbol{v}'$, in $\widetilde{O}_k$, then $f(\boldsymbol{u}) = \boldsymbol{u} \sim \overline{\boldsymbol{v}} = f(\boldsymbol{v}')$, in MQ_k. □

For example, the middle cube graph MQ_2 contains vertices with one or two 1s in their binary representation. The adjacencies give simply a 6-cycle (see Fig. 6), which is isomorphic to $\widetilde{O}_2$. As another example, MQ_3 has 20 vertices because there are $\binom{5}{2} = 10$ vertices with two 1s, and $\binom{5}{3} = 10$ vertices with three 1s in their binary representation (see Fig. 7). Compare the Figs. 5 and 7 in order to realize the isomorphism between the definitions of MQ_3 and $\widetilde{O}_3$.

It is known that $\widetilde{O}_k$ is a bipartite 2-antipodal distance-regular graph. See Biggs [5] and Brower *et al.* [7] for more details.

3.2 Spectral properties

The spectrum of the hypercube Q_{2k-1} contains all the eigenvalues (including multiplicities) of the middle cube MQ_k:

$$\operatorname{sp} MQ_k \subseteq \operatorname{sp} Q_{2k-1}.$$

According to the result of Corollary 2.3, the spectrum of the middle cube graph $MQ_k \simeq \widetilde{O}_k$ can be obtained from the spectrum of the odd graph O_k. The distinct eigenvalues of MQ_k are $\theta_i^+ = (-1)^i(k-i)$ and $\theta_i^- = -\theta_i^+$, $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, with multiplicities

$$m(\theta_i^+) = m(\theta_i^-) = \frac{k-i}{k}\binom{2k}{i}. \tag{5}$$



Figure 6: The middle cube graph MQ_2 as a subgraph of Q_3 or as the bipartite double graph of $O_2 = K_3$.



Figure 7: The middle cube graph MQ_3.

For example,

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{sp} MQ_3 &= \{\pm 2, \pm 1^2\}, \\
\operatorname{sp} MQ_5 &= \{\pm 3, \pm 2^4, \pm 1^5\}, \\
\operatorname{sp} MQ_7 &= \{\pm 4, \pm 3^6, \pm 2^{14}, \pm 1^{14}\}, \\
\operatorname{sp} MQ_9 &= \{\pm 5, \pm 4^8, \pm 3^{27}, \pm 2^{48}, \pm 1^{42}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The middle cube graph is a distance-regular graph. For instance, the distance polynomials of MQ_k are

$$\begin{aligned}
p_0(x) &= 1, \\
p_1(x) &= x, \\
p_2(x) &= x^2 - 3, \\
p_3(x) &= \frac{1}{2}(x^3 - 5x), \\
p_4(x) &= \frac{1}{4}(x^4 - 9x^2 + 12), \\
p_5(x) &= \frac{1}{12}(x^5 - 11x^3 + 22x).
\end{aligned}$$

As the sum of the distance polynomials is the Hoffman polynomial [22], we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{5} p_i(x) = \frac{1}{12}(x-1)(x-2)(x+3)(x+2)(x+1). \tag{6}$$

The eigenvalues of the MQ_3 are $\lambda_0 = 3$ and the zeroes of polynomial (6):

$$\operatorname{ev} MQ_3 = \{3, 2, 1, -1, -2, -3\},$$

and their multiplicities, $m(\lambda_i)$, can be computed using the highest degree polynomial p_{2k-1}, according to the result by Fiol [11]:

$$m(\lambda_i) = \frac{\phi_0 p_{2k-1}(\lambda_0)}{\phi_i p_{2k-1}(\lambda_i)}, \qquad 0 \le i \le 2k-1,$$

where $\phi_i = \prod_{j=0,\, j\neq i}^{2k-1}(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)$. Of course, this expression yields the same result as Eq. (5). Namely, $m(\lambda_i) = m(\lambda_{2k-1-i}) = m(\theta_i^{\pm})$, $0 \le i \le k-1$.

The values of the highest degree polynomial are $p_5(3) = p_5(1) = p_5(-1) = 1$ and $p_5(2) = p_5(-1) = p_5(-3) = -1$. Moreover, $\phi_0 = -\phi_5 = 240$, $\phi_1 = -\phi_4 = -60$, and $\phi_2 = -\phi_3 = 48$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} m(\lambda_0) = m(\lambda_5) = m(\theta_0^{\pm}) &= 1,\\ m(\lambda_1) = m(\lambda_4) = m(\theta_1^{\pm}) &= 4,\\ m(\lambda_2) = m(\lambda_3) = m(\theta_2^{\pm}) &= 5. \end{aligned}$$

3.3 Middle cube graphs as boundary graphs

Let G be a graph with diameter D and distinct eigenvalues $\operatorname{ev} G = \{\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d\}$, where $\lambda_0 > \lambda_1 > \cdots > \lambda_d$. A classical result states that $D \le d$ (see, for instance, Biggs [5]). Other results related to the diameter D and some (or all) different eigenvalues have been given by Alon and Milman [1], Chung [8], van Dam and Haemers [9], Delorme and Solé [10], and Mohar [23], among others. Fiol *et al.* [12, 14, 15] showed that many of these results can be stated with the following common framework: If the value of a certain polynomial P at λ_0 is large enough, then the diameter is at most the degree of P. More precisely, it was shown that optimal results arise when P is the so-called *k-alternating polynomial*, which in the case of degree $d-1$ is characterized by $P(\lambda_i) = (-1)^{i+1}, 1 \le i \le d$, and satisfies $P(\lambda_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\pi_0}{\pi_i}$, where $\pi_i = \prod_{j=0, j\neq i}^{d} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|$. In particular, when G is a regular graph on n vertices, the following implication holds:

$$P(\lambda_0) + 1 = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{\pi_0}{\pi_i} > n \quad \Rightarrow \quad D \le d-1.$$

This result suggested the study of the so-called *boundary graphs* [13, 15], characterized by

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\pi_0}{\pi_i} = n. \tag{7}$$

Fiol *et al.* [13] showed that extremal ($D = d$) boundary graphs, where each vertex has maximum eccentricity, are 2-antipodal distance-regular graphs. As we show in the next result, this is the case of the middle cube graphs MQ_k where the antipodal pairs of vertices are $(\boldsymbol{x}; \overline{\boldsymbol{x}})$, with $\boldsymbol{x} = x_0 x_1 \ldots x_{2k-1}$ and $\overline{\boldsymbol{x}} = \overline{x}_0\, \overline{x}_1 \ldots \overline{x}_{2k-1}$.

Proposition 3.2 *The middle cube graph MQ_k is a boundary graph.*

Proof. Recall that the eigenvalues of MQ_k are

$$\operatorname{ev} MQ_{2k-1} = \{k, k-1, \ldots, 1, -1, \ldots, -k\},$$

that is, $\lambda_i = k - i, \lambda_{k+i} = -(i+1)$, $0 \le i < k$. Now, according to Eq. (7), we have to prove that $\sum_{i=0}^{2k-1} \frac{\pi_0}{\pi_i} = 2\binom{2k-1}{k}$. Computing π_i, for $0 \le i \le 2k-1$, we get

$$\pi_i = \frac{i!(2k-i)!}{k-i} = \pi_{2k-(i+1)}, \text{ for } 0 \le i < k.$$

This implies

$$\frac{\pi_0}{\pi_i} = \frac{\pi_0}{\pi_{2k-(i+1)}} = \frac{(2k)!}{k} \frac{(k-i)}{i!\,(2k-i)!} = \frac{k-i}{k}\binom{2k}{i}, \text{ for } 0 \le i < k,$$

giving exactly the multiplicities of the corresponding eigenvalues, as found in Eq. 5. By summing up we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2k-1} \frac{\pi_0}{\pi_i} = 2\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{\pi_0}{\pi_i} = 2\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\binom{2k}{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\frac{i}{k}\binom{2k}{i}\right). \tag{8}$$

But

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\binom{2k}{i} = \frac{1}{2}\left(2^{2k} - \binom{2k}{k}\right) = 2^{2k-1} - \binom{2k-1}{k}, \tag{9}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\frac{i}{k}\binom{2k}{i} = 2\sum_{i=0}^{k-2}\binom{2k-1}{i} = 2^{2k-1} - 2\binom{2k-1}{k-1},$$

where we have used Eq. (9) changing k by $k-1$. Thus, replacing the above values in Eq. (8), we get the result. □

Acknowledgements

Research supported by the *Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación*, Spain, and the *European Regional Development Fund* under project MTM2011-28800-C02-01, and the *Catalan Research Council* under project 2009SGR1387.

References

[1] N. Alon and V. Milman, λ_1, Isoperimetric inequalities for graphs and super-concentrators, *J. Combinat. Theory Ser. B* **38** (1985) 73–88.

[2] A.T. Balaban, D. Farcussiu, R. Banica, Graphs of multiple 1, 2-shifts in carbonium ions and related systems, *Rev. Roum. Chim.* **11** (1966) 1205–1227.

[3] N. Biggs, An edge coloring problem, *Amer. Math. Montly* **79** (1972) 1018–1020.

[4] N. Biggs, Some odd graph theory, *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.* **319** (1979) 71–81.

[5] N. Biggs, *Algebraic Graph Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1974), second edition (1993).

[6] I. Bond, C. Delorme, New large bipartite graphs with given degree and diameter, *Ars Combin.* **25C** (1988) 123–132.

[7] A.E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen, A. Neumaier, *Distance-Regular Graphs*, Springer, Berlin (1989).

[8] F.R.K. Chung, Diameter and eigenvalues, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **2** (1989) 187–196.

[9] E.R. van Dam, W.H. Haemmers, Eigenvalues and the diameter of graphs, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **39** (1995) 33–44.

[10] C. Delorme, P. Solé, Diameter, covering index, covering radius and eigenvalues, *European J. Combin.* **12** (1991) 95–108.

[11] M.A. Fiol, Algebraic characterizations of distance-regular graphs, *Discrete Math.* **246** (2002) 111–129.

[12] M.A. Fiol, E. Garriga, J.L.A. Yebra, On a class of polynomials and its relation with the spectra and diameter of graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **67** (1996) 48–61.

[13] M.A. Fiol, E. Garriga, J.L.A. Yebra, From regular boundary graphs to antipodal distance-regular graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **27** (1998) 123–140.

[14] M.A. Fiol, E. Garriga, J.L.A. Yebra, Boundary graphs: The limit case of a spectral property, *Discrete Math.* **226** (2001) 155–173.

[15] M.A. Fiol, E. Garriga, J.L.A. Yebra, Boundary graphs: The limit case of a spectral property (II), *Discrete Math.* **182** (1998) 101–111.

[16] M. A. Fiol, E. Garriga, J.L.A. Yebra, On twisted odd graphs, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **9** (2000) 227–240.

[17] M.A. Fiol, M. Mitjana, The spectra of some families of digraphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **423** (2007), no. 1, 109–118.

[18] C. D. Godsil, More odd graph theory, *Discrete Math.* **32** (1980) 205–207.

[19] C. D. Godsil, *Algebraic Combinatorics*, Chapman and Hall, London/New York (1993).

[20] F. Harary, J.P. Hayes, H.J. Wu, A survey of the theory of hypercube graphs, *Comp. Math. Appl.* **15** (1988), no. 4, 277–289.

[21] I. Havel, Semipaths in directed cubes, in M. Fiedler (Ed.), *Graphs and other Combinatorial Topics*, Teunebner–Texte Math., Teubner, Leipzig (1983).

[22] A. J. Hoffman, On the polynomial of a graph, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **70** (1963) 30–36.

[23] B. Mohar, Eigenvalues, diameter and mean distance in graphs, *Graphs Combin. Theory Ser. B* **68** (1996) 179–205.

[24] K. Qiu, S.K. Das, Interconnexion Networks and Their Eigenvalues, in *Proc. of 2002 International Sumposiym on Parallel Architectures, Algorithms and Networks, ISPAN'02*, pp. 163–168.

[25] J. Robert Johnson, Long cycles in the middle two layers of the discrete cube, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **105** (2004) 255–271.

[26] C.D. Savage, I. Shields, A Hamilton path heuristic with applications to the middle two levels problem, *Congr. Numer.* **140** (1999) 161–178.

[27] J.R. Silvester, Determinants of block matrices, *Maths Gazette* **84** (2000) 460–467.